arXiv:1402.3650v3 [gr-qc] 20 Aug 2014

A Kaluza–Klein-like model of the gauge theory of gravity and its cosmological meaning

Jia-An Lu[a]*

[a] School of Physics and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

Abstract

A new Kaluza–Klein-like (KK-like) model of the de Sitter gauge theory of gravity is constructed from the geometry related to the gauge-invariant expressions of the gravitational fields. The model reduces to general relativity with a cosmological constant Λ when the spin tensor is equal to zero. Moreover, it is shown that among the $R - 2\Lambda + \beta S^{abc}S_{abc}$ models, where β is a dimensionless parameter and S_{abc} denotes torsion, the KK-like model is the only one that may avert the big-bang singularity of the Robertson–Walker universe filled with a spin fluid.

PACS numbers: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Jk, 04.20.Cv
Key words: gauge theory of gravity, torsion, singularity

1 Introduction

It has been pointed out that there are three kinds of special relativity (SR) [1–4] and gravity should be based on the localization of a SR with full symmetry [5–7]. It is a motivation for the study of the Poincaré, de Sitter (dS) or Anti-de Sitter (AdS) gauge theory of gravity [8–12], where the Riemann–Cartan (RC) geometry with nontrivial metric and torsion is introduced to realize the corresponding gauge symmetry.

The Kaluza–Klein-like (KK-like) models [12–14] of the gauge theory of gravity are some models where the Lagrangian densities are constructed from the scalar curvatures of some fiber bundles, just like the KK theory [15, 16]. The scalar curvature of the fiber bundle is given by the RC geometries of the spacetime and the typical fiber. In these models, one can see what effects the geometry of the typical fiber, which is determined by the gravitational gauge group, would have on the gravitational dynamics.

In the previous KK-like model of the dS gauge theory of gravity, in order to make the no-gravity spacetime be a vacuum solution, the Lagrangian density of a global section in the bundle is introduced to give a suitable constant term [12]. However, the physical meaning of the global section is unclear. In fact, the global section may just correspond to the local inertial coordinates. In this paper, we introduce a coupling constant between the spacetime and the typical fiber. By adjusting this coupling constant, we can make the no-gravity spacetime be a vacuum solution, without introducing the Lagrangian density of the global section. The resulting model is equivalent to general relativity (GR) with

*Email: ljagdgz@163.com

a cosmological constant when the spin tensor is equal to zero. Furthermore, we show that among the $R-2\Lambda+\beta S^{abc}S_{abc}$ models, where β is a dimensionless parameter and S_{abc} denotes torsion, the new KK-like model is the only one that may avoid the initial singularity of the Robertson–Walker (RW) universe filled with a spin fluid.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the dS gauge theory of gravity with the gauge-invariant expressions for the spacetime metric, torsion and curvature is briefly introduced. In section 3, we construct a new KK-like model of the dS gauge theory of gravity. In section 4, it is shown that among a group of models, the new KK-like model is the only one that may avert the big-bang singularity of the RW universe filled with a spin fluid. We give some remarks in section 5 and end with three appendixes on the geometry related to the gauge-invariant expressions of the metric, torsion and curvature.

2 de Sitter gauge theory of gravity

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the spacetime manifold, with the metric g_{ab}, and the metric-compatible derivative operator ∇_a, where a,b are abstract indices [17, 18]. There exist tensor fields $S^c{}_{ab}$ and $R^c{}_{dab}$, such that for any local function f and one-form field ω_a on $\mathcal{M}$,

$$(\nabla_a\nabla_b-\nabla_b\nabla_a)f=-S^c{}_{ab}\nabla_c f, \tag{1}$$

$$(\nabla_a\nabla_b-\nabla_b\nabla_a)\omega_d=-R^c{}_{dab}\,\omega_c-S^c{}_{ab}\nabla_c\,\omega_d. \tag{2}$$

The tensor fields $S^c{}_{ab}$ and $R^c{}_{dab}$ are called the torsion and curvature tensor fields of ∇_a, respectively. Suppose that $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ is an orthonormal frame field, where $\alpha=0,1,2,3$. The metric components in $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ are equal to $\eta_{\alpha\beta}=\mathrm{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. The connection one-form of ∇_a in $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ is defined as

$$\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta a}=e^\alpha{}_b\nabla_a e_\beta{}^b, \tag{3}$$

where $\{e^\alpha{}_b\}$ is the dual frame field of $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$. The torsion and curvature two-form of ∇_a in $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ are defined as $S^\alpha{}_{ab}=S^c{}_{ab}\,e^\alpha{}_c$ and $R^\alpha{}_{\beta ab}=R^c{}_{dab}\,e^\alpha{}_c\,e_\beta{}^d$, respectively. Then Eqs. (1) and (2) imply

$$S^\alpha{}_{ab}=d_a e^\alpha{}_b+\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta a}\wedge e^\beta{}_b, \tag{4}$$

$$R^\alpha{}_{\beta ab}=d_a\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta b}+\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\gamma a}\wedge\Gamma^\gamma{}_{\beta b}, \tag{5}$$

where d_a is the exterior differentiation operator.

It can be shown (cf. Appendix A) that, a principal bundle $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M},G)$ can be set up, where G is the dS group. The Ehresmann connection Ω of $\mathcal{P}$ can be given (cf. Appendix B) by the geometry of the spacetime. Moreover, an associated bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}=\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}(\mathcal{P},M_5)$ can be set up, where M_5 is the 5-dimensional (5d) Minkowski space. The fiber bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}$ has a subbundle $\mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P},D_4)$, where D_4 is a dS space. There is a global section ϕ in $\mathcal{Q}$, with the help of which the gauge-invariant expressions for the metric, torsion and curvature of the spacetime can be obtained (cf. Appendix C) [9, 10, 12]:

$$g_{ab}=\eta_{AB}(D_a\xi^A)(D_b\xi^B), \tag{6}$$

$$S_{cab}=\mathcal{F}_{ABab}(D_c\xi^A)\xi^B, \tag{7}$$

$$R_{cdab}-(2/l^2)g_{a[c}g_{d]b}=\mathcal{F}_{ABab}(D_c\xi^A)(D_d\xi^B), \tag{8}$$

where $A, B = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, $S_{cab} = g_{cd}S^d{}_{ab}$, $R_{cdab} = g_{ce}R^e{}_{dab}$, $\mathcal{F}_{ABab} = \eta_{AC}\mathcal{F}^C{}_{Bab}$, $\xi^A = \xi^A(x)$ are the vertical coordinates of $\phi(x)$ in $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$, $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$ is a local coordinate system on $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}$, which is given by a local section σ in $\mathcal{P}$ together with a local coordinate system $\{x^\mu\}$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) on $\mathcal{M}$ and a Minkowski coordinate system $\{\xi^A\}$ on M_5, $D_a\xi^A$ is the covariant derivative (70) of ϕ, $\mathcal{F}^C{}_{Bab}$ is the local curvature (61) of Ω, and l is the radius of D_4. The expressions (6)–(8) are gauge invariant, i.e., independent of the choice of the local section σ in $\mathcal{P}$.

Note that $\xi^A(x)$ can be interpreted as the local 5d Minkowski coordinates, for the reason that the metric field in D_4 can be expressed as $g_{ab} = \eta_{AB}(d_a\xi^A)(d_b\xi^B)$, where ξ^A are coordinates in $\{\xi^A\}$. The functions $\xi^A(x)$ are related to the local inertial coordinates $y^\mu(x)$ by $y^\mu(x) = \sqrt{\sigma}\xi^\mu(x)$ when $\xi^4(x) \neq 0$, where $\sigma = [l/\xi^4(x)]^2$ [2, 9].

Denote the gravitational action by S_G, and the action of the matter fields by S_M. The total action is defined as $S = \kappa S_G + S_M$, where κ is the coupling constant. Suppose that S is an integral over a domain $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and there exist tensor fields X^{ab} and $Y_c{}^{ab}$, such that for any $\mathcal{U}$ with a compact closure, the variation of S caused by the variations of the metric and torsion on $\mathcal{U}$ is equal to

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{U}} [X^{ab}\delta g_{ab} + Y_c{}^{ab}\delta S^c{}_{ab}]\epsilon, \tag{9}$$

where ϵ is a metric-compatible volume element. Then the gravitational field equations are given by

$$\delta S/\delta g_{ab} \equiv X^{(ab)} = 0, \quad \delta S/\delta S^c{}_{ab} \equiv Y_c{}^{[ab]} = 0. \tag{10}$$

Making use of Eqs. (6)–(8), it can be found that the variations of the metric and torsion are equivalent to the variations of $\Omega^A{}_{Ba}$ and ξ^A, where $\Omega^A{}_{Ba}$ is the local Ehresmann connection (58). Note that $\delta\xi^A(x) = (\partial\xi^A/\partial y^\mu)\delta y^\mu(x)$, where $\delta y^\mu(x)$ is arbitrary on $\mathcal{U}$ but $\delta\xi^A(x)$ is not. Therefore, the gravitational field equations can also be given by

$$\delta S/\delta\Omega^A{}_{Ba} = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$(\delta S/\delta\xi^A)(\partial\xi^A/\partial y^\mu) = 0. \tag{12}$$

As we shall see, Eq. (12) is redundant. Actually, provided Eq. (11) holds, the variation of S caused by any variations of $\Omega^A{}_{Ba}$ and ξ^A on $\mathcal{U}$ is equal to

$$\delta S = \int_{\mathcal{U}} (\delta S/\delta\xi^A)\delta\xi^A. \tag{13}$$

Suppose that the variation is given by a group of gauge transformations, then $\delta\xi^A = (\delta g^A{}_B)\xi^B$, where $g^A{}_B$ is a G-valued function, and thus $\delta g^A{}_B$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued function, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the dS algebra. Since S is gauge invariant, $\delta S = 0$, and so [12],

$$(\delta S/\delta\xi^A)\xi_B - (\delta S/\delta\xi^B)\xi_A = 0. \tag{14}$$

Notice that $\xi^A(x)\xi_A(x) = l^2$, then $(\partial\xi^A/\partial y^\mu)\xi_A(x) = 0$, and hence Eq. (14) results in Eq. (12). In other words, the gravitational field equation can be given by Eq. (11), which is similar to the gauge field equations in the gauge theories of matter fields. In the special gauge with $\sigma = \sigma_e$, it is easy to found that the variations of $\Omega^A{}_{Ba}$ and ξ^A are equivalent to the variations of $\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta a}$ and $e^\alpha{}_a$ (cf. Eqs. (53) and (64)). As a result, the gravitational field equations can also be given by

$$\delta S/\delta\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta a} = 0, \quad \delta S/\delta e^\alpha{}_a = 0. \tag{15}$$

The no-gravity spacetime can be defined as a spacetime where the Ehresmann connection is flat, i.e., $\mathcal{F}^A{}_{Bab} = 0$. The gauge-invariant expressions (7) and (8) imply that the no-gravity spacetime is locally identical with D_4. It is a natural requirement that the no-gravity spacetime is a vacuum solution. Furthermore, we would like to require that the gravitational Lagrangian density $\mathscr{L}_G$ is complete in the sense that it contains all the matrix elements of $\mathcal{F}^A{}_{Bab}$, i.e., it contains both curvature and torsion (cf. Eq. (66)). In the next section, we will construct a model for the dS gauge theory of gravity which satisfies the above-mentioned requirements.

3 Kaluza–Klein-like model

The Lagrangian density of the KK-like model to be constructed is defined as $\mathscr{L}_G = \phi^* \overline{R}$, where $\overline{R}$ is the scalar curvature of $\mathcal{Q}$, ϕ^* is the pullback map of ϕ. The scalar curvature $\overline{R}$ is determined by the metric and torsion of $\mathcal{Q}$. The metric of $\mathcal{Q}$ is defined as

$$\overline{g} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu + \alpha \eta_{AB} \theta^A \otimes \theta^B, \tag{16}$$

where x^μ are coordinates in $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$, $g_{\mu\nu}$ are components of g_{ab} in $\{x^\mu\}$, $\otimes$ stands for a tensor product, θ^A are several vertical one-form fields (75), and α is a dimensionless constant. Since θ^A are gauge covariant, Eq. (16) is gauge invariant. The first part of Eq. (16) comes from g_{ab}, and the second part comes from the metric of D_4. The constant $\alpha = 1$ in Ref. [12], while it is to be determined here. With the help of Eqs. (6) and (75), it can be shown that [12]

$$[\phi^*(g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu)]_{ab} = [\phi^*(\eta_{AB} \theta^A \otimes \theta^B)]_{ab} = g_{ab}. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, the torsion of $\mathcal{Q}$ can be defined as [12]:

$$\overline{S} = S^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu} X_\sigma \otimes dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu, \tag{18}$$

where $S^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu}$ are components of $S^c{}_{ab}$ in $\{x^\mu\}$, and X_σ are horizontal lifts (73) of the coordinate basis vector fields of $\{x^\mu\}$. Because D_4 is torsion free, $\overline{S}$ only contains the spacetime torsion. Note that X_σ are gauge invariant, and so $\overline{S}$ is gauge invariant. A local frame field $\{\overline{E}_\mathcal{A}\} = \{X_\mu, E_\alpha\}$ can be defined on $\mathcal{Q}$, with $\{\overline{E}^\mathcal{A}\} = \{dx^\mu, E^\alpha\}$ as its dual, where $\mathcal{A} = 0, 1, \cdots, 7$, and, E_α and E^α are several vertical vector fields and one-form fields, respectively (cf. Appendix C). The connection coefficients and curvature components of $\mathcal{Q}$ in $\{\overline{E}_\mathcal{A}\}$ can be calculated by the following formulae [15]:

$$\overline{\Gamma}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{g}^{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} [\overline{E}_\mathcal{A}(\overline{g}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{D}}) + \overline{E}_\mathcal{B}(\overline{g}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{D}}) - \overline{E}_\mathcal{D}(\overline{g}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}})] - \overline{K}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} - \frac{1}{2}(\overline{C}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} + \overline{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}{}^\mathcal{C} + \overline{C}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}}{}^\mathcal{C}), \tag{19}$$

$$\overline{R}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = 2\overline{E}_{[\mathcal{A}}(\overline{\Gamma}^\mathcal{C}{}_{|\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{B}]}) + 2\overline{\Gamma}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{E}[\mathcal{A}} \overline{\Gamma}^\mathcal{E}{}_{|\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{B}]} - \overline{\Gamma}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{E}} \overline{C}^\mathcal{E}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}, \tag{20}$$

where $\overline{K}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ are contorsion components related to the torsion components $\overline{S}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ by

$$\overline{K}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{S}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} + \overline{S}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}{}^\mathcal{C} + \overline{S}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}}{}^\mathcal{C}), \tag{21}$$

and $\overline{C}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ are defined by the commutation relations:

$$[\overline{E}_\mathcal{A}, \overline{E}_\mathcal{B}] = \overline{C}^\mathcal{C}{}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \overline{E}_\mathcal{C}. \tag{22}$$

It can be shown that [12]

$$\overline{C}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} = 0,\ \overline{C}^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{B\mu\nu}\xi^{B}E_{A}{}^{\alpha}, \tag{23}$$

$$\overline{C}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\alpha} = 0,\ \overline{C}^{\beta}{}_{\mu\alpha} = [X_{\mu}(E_{\alpha}{}^{A}) + \Omega^{A}{}_{B\mu}E_{\alpha}{}^{B}]E_{A}{}^{\beta}, \tag{24}$$

$$\overline{C}^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = 0,\ \overline{C}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta} = C^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{25}$$

where $\overline{C}^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu}$, etc. are short for $\overline{C}^{4+\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu}$, etc., $\Omega^{A}{}_{B\mu}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{B\mu\nu}$ are components of $\Omega^{A}{}_{Ba}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{Bab}$ in $\{x^{\mu}\}$, ξ^{B} are coordinates in $\{x^{\mu},\xi^{A}\}$, $E_{A}{}^{\alpha}$ and $E_{\alpha}{}^{A}$ are some functions related to E_{α} (cf. Eqs. (82) and (83)), and $C^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ are determined by the commutation relations of $\{E_{\alpha}\}$. Moreover, it can be checked that

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu},\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta} = (\Gamma_{F})^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}, \tag{26}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{B\mu\nu}\xi^{B}E_{A}{}^{\alpha}, \tag{27}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\mu}{}_{\nu\alpha} = \overline{\Gamma}^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathcal{F}_{AB\nu}{}^{\mu}\xi^{B}E_{\alpha}{}^{A}, \tag{28}$$

$$\overline{\Gamma}^{\mu}{}_{\alpha\beta} = 0,\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\beta}{}_{\mu\alpha} = 0,\ \overline{\Gamma}^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\mu} = -\overline{C}^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\mu}, \tag{29}$$

where $\Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu}$ are connection coefficients of $\mathcal{M}$ in $\{x^{\mu}\}$, $(\Gamma_{F})^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ are induced by the connection coefficients of D_4 in a frame field $\{E_{\alpha}{}^{a}\}$ related to $\{E_{\alpha}\}$ (cf. Appendix C), and $\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{B\nu}{}^{\mu} = g^{\mu\sigma}\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{B\nu\sigma}$. Furthermore, it can be checked that

$$\overline{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu\nu} = R - \frac{3}{4}\alpha\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{C\mu\nu}\xi^{B}\xi^{C}, \tag{30}$$

$$\overline{R}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \alpha^{-1}R_{F}, \tag{31}$$

$$\overline{R}^{\mu\alpha}{}_{\mu\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}\alpha\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{C\mu\nu}\xi^{B}\xi^{C}, \tag{32}$$

where $\overline{R}^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu\nu} = g^{\nu\sigma}\overline{R}^{\mu}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$, $\overline{R}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{g}^{\beta\gamma}\overline{R}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}$, $\overline{R}^{\mu\alpha}{}_{\mu\alpha} = \overline{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overline{R}^{\mu}{}_{\beta\mu\alpha}$, $R = g^{db}R^{a}{}_{dab}$ is the scalar curvature of $\mathcal{M}$, and $R_{F} = 4\Lambda$ is the scalar curvature of D_4, where $\Lambda = 3/l^{2}$. Therefore, the scalar curvature of $\mathcal{Q}$ is equal to

$$\overline{R} = R + \alpha^{-1}R_{F} - (1/4)\alpha\mathcal{F}_{AB}{}^{\mu\nu}\mathcal{F}^{A}{}_{C\mu\nu}\xi^{B}\xi^{C}, \tag{33}$$

and hence

$$\phi^{*}\overline{R} = R + 4\Lambda/\alpha - (1/4)\alpha|S|^{2}, \tag{34}$$

where $|S|^{2} = S^{abc}S_{abc}$, and Eq. (66) has been used. In order to make the no-gravity spacetime be a vacuum solution, $4\Lambda/\alpha$ should be equal to -2Λ. As a result, $\alpha = -2$, and the Lagrangian density of the KK-like model being constructed is

$$\mathscr{L}_{G} = \phi^{*}\overline{R} = R - 2\Lambda + (1/2)|S|^{2}. \tag{35}$$

For generality, we will present the gravitational field equations for a group of models:

$$\mathscr{L}_{G} = R - 2\Lambda + \beta|S|^{2}, \tag{36}$$

where β is a dimensionless parameter. For the EC theory, $\beta = 0$; for the KK-like model in Ref. [12], $\beta = -1/4$; for the KK-like model (35), $\beta = 1/2$. The gravitational field equations of the models (36) are as follows:

$$R_{ba} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} - 2\beta\nabla_c S_{ab}{}^c - \beta S_{acd}T_b{}^{cd} - \frac{1}{2}\beta|S|^2 g_{ab} + 2\beta S^{cd}{}_a S_{cdb} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\Sigma_{ab}, \quad (37)$$

$$T^a{}_{bc} - 4\beta S_{[bc]}{}^a = -\frac{1}{\kappa}\tau_{bc}{}^a, \quad (38)$$

where $R_{ba} = R^c{}_{bca}$, $T^a{}_{bc} = S^a{}_{bc} + 2\delta^a{}_{[b}S_{c]}$, $\delta^a{}_b$ is the Kronecker delta, $S_c = S^b{}_{cb}$, $\Sigma_{ab} = \Sigma_\alpha{}^c e^\alpha{}_a g_{bc}$ is the canonical energy-momentum tensor, where $\Sigma_\alpha{}^c = \delta S_M/\delta e^\alpha{}_c$, and, $\tau_{bc}{}^a = \tau_\alpha{}^{\beta a}e^\alpha{}_b e_{\beta c}$ is the spin tensor, where $\tau_\alpha{}^{\beta a} = \delta S_M/\delta\Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta a}$, and $e_{\beta c} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}e^\alpha{}_c$. Note that the cases with $\beta = 1, 1/4$ or $-1/2$ are not so general because they can only describe the matter fields with $\tau_b \equiv \tau_{bc}{}^c = 0$, $\tau_{[abc]} = 0$, or $g_{a[b}\tau_{c]} - \tau_{a[bc]} + \tau_{bca} = 0$, respectively. For the cases with $\beta \neq 1, 1/4, -1/2$, the solution of Eq. (38) is

$$S_{abc} = (8\beta^2 + 2\beta - 1)^{-1}[-2(4\beta - 1)g_{a[b}S_{c]} - (2\beta - 1)(1/\kappa)\tau_{bca} + (4\beta/\kappa)\tau_{a[bc]}], \quad (39)$$

where $S_c = [1/2\kappa(1-\beta)]\tau_c$. The symmetric energy-momentum tensor $T_{ab} = -2\delta S_M/\delta g^{ab}$ is related to Σ_{ab} and $\tau_{bc}{}^a$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{ab} &= \Sigma_{ab} + (\nabla_c + S_c)(\tau_{ab}{}^c - \tau_a{}^c{}_b + \tau^c{}_{ba}) \\ &= \Sigma_{(ab)} + 2(\nabla_c + S_c)\tau^c{}_{(ab)}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Hence, if the spin tensor is equal to zero, then $S^c{}_{ab} = 0$, $\Sigma_{ab} = T_{ab}$, and Eq. (37) reduces to the Einstein equation with a cosmological constant when $1/2\kappa = 8\pi$, i.e., $\kappa = 1/16\pi$. Generally, Eq. (37) can be expressed as an Einstein-like equation:

$$\mathring{R}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\mathring{R}g_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(T_{\rm eff})_{ab}, \quad (41)$$

where $\mathring{R} = g^{ab}\mathring{R}_{ab}$, $\mathring{R}_{ab} = \mathring{R}^c{}_{acb}$, $\mathring{R}^c{}_{dab}$ is the torsion-free curvature, and $(T_{\rm eff})_{ab}$ is the effective energy-momentum tensor which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\kappa}(T_{\rm eff})_{ab} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}T_{ab} - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\frac{1}{2}S_{(a}{}^{cd}\tau_{|cd|b)} + K^d{}_{(b|c|}\tau^c{}_{a)d}) + \frac{1}{2}(1+2\beta)S^{cd}{}_{(a}S_{b)cd} \\ -S_{(ab)}{}^c S_c - \frac{1}{4}(T_{cde}K^{dec} - 2\beta|S|^2)g_{ab} - \frac{1}{4}S_{acd}S_b{}^{cd} - 2\beta S_{cda}S^{[cd]}{}_b, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $K^d{}_{bc}$ is the spacetime contorsion.

4 Cosmological meaning

Let us assume that the matter fields in the universe can be described by a spin fluid [19] with the energy-momentum tensor and spin tensor being

$$T_{ab} = \rho U_a U_b + p(g_{ab} + U_a U_b), \quad (43)$$

$$\tau_{bc}{}^a = \tau_{bc}U^a, \quad (44)$$

where ρ is the rest energy density, p is the hydrostatic pressure, U^a is the four-velocity of the fluid particles, and τ_{bc} is the spin density two-form which satisfies $\tau_{bc}U^c = 0$. This spin fluid is one of the extensions [19, 20] of the special relativistic Weyssenhoff fluid [21]. Substitution of Eq. (44) into Eq. (42) yields

$$\frac{1}{2\kappa}(T_{\text{eff}})_{ab} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}T_{ab} + \frac{1}{\kappa^2}(8\beta^2+2\beta-1)^{-2}[(\beta-\frac{1}{2})(8\beta^2+2\beta-1)\tau_{ac}\tau_b{}^c \\ +(-4\beta^3-3\beta^2+\frac{1}{4})2s^2U_aU_b+(-6\beta^3-\frac{1}{2}\beta^2+\beta-\frac{1}{8})2s^2g_{ab}], \tag{45}$$

where $s^2 = \tau_{bc}\tau^{bc}/2$ is the spin density squire. Furthermore, the metric field of the universe is supposed to be an RW metric with the line element

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2(d\theta^2+\sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)], \tag{46}$$

where t is the cosmic time with $(\partial/\partial t)^a = U^a$, and $k = 0, \pm 1$. According to Eq. (46), the left-hand side of the Einstein-like equation (41) is diagonal in the coordinate system $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$, then $(T_{\text{eff}})_{ab}$ should be diagonal too, and so the term proportional to $\tau_{ac}\tau_b{}^c$ in Eq. (45) should be diagonal, which implies that $\beta = 1/2$ or $\tau_{bc} = 0$. In other words, among the group of models (36), only the KK-like model (35) has nonzero torsion effect in the present case.

It should be remarked that the torsion field given by Eqs. (39) and (44) is not homogenous and isotropic in the usual sense, i.e., not invariant under the isometric transformations of the cosmic space. However, we may still think that the torsion field is homogeneous and isotropic, for the reason that it is algebraically related to the spin tensor, which can be assumed to be homogeneous in the sense that the spin density s is a function of the cosmic time, and isotropic in the sense that the spin orientations given by τ_{bc} are random at the cosmic scale.

In fact, for the KK-like model (35), Eq. (45) becomes

$$(T_{\text{eff}})_{ab} = T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2\kappa}s^2U_aU_b - \frac{1}{2\kappa}s^2(g_{ab}+U_aU_b), \tag{47}$$

which indicates that the torsion effect is equivalent to an ideal fluid with the rest energy density being negative, and equal to the hydrostatic pressure. It is easy to show that this torsion effect may replace the big-bang singularity by a big bounce [22, 23].

5 Remarks

In this paper, a new KK-like model (35) of the dS gauge theory of gravity is constructed from the geometry related to the gauge-invariant expressions (6)–(8) of the metric, torsion and curvature. The model is complete in the sense that the Lagrangian density contains both curvature and torsion. The model is simple in the sense that the Lagrangian density only contains a curvature term, a torsion term and a constant term.

It is remarkable that the coefficient of the torsion term in the Lagrangian density (35) is determined by the requirement that the no-gravity spacetime is a vacuum solution. This coefficient is significant since it gives the only one model of such type that may avoid the big-bang singularity of the RW universe filled with a spin fluid (43)(44).

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank the late Prof. H.-Y. Guo, and Profs. C.-G. Huang, Z.-B. Li, X.-P. Zhu, X.-W. Liu, S.-D. Liang and T. Harko for their help and some useful discussions.

A Firber bundles

Suppose that $\mathcal{P}_H = \mathcal{P}_H(\mathcal{M}, H)$ is an orthonormal frame bundle, where H is the Lorentz group. We can identify H to a subgroup of G by identifying $h \in H$ to

$$\begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in G. \tag{48}$$

Then H can act on G by the group multiplication, and we can set up an associated bundle $\mathcal{Q}_G = \mathcal{Q}_G(\mathcal{P}_H, G)$, which turns out to be a principal bundle $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}, G)$ [24], with the group action given by

$$(p_H \cdot g)g_1 = p_H \cdot (gg_1), \tag{49}$$

where $p_H \in \mathcal{P}_H$, $g, g_1 \in G$ and

$$p_H \cdot g = \{(p_H h^{-1}, hg) | h \in H\} \in \mathcal{Q}_G = \mathcal{P}. \tag{50}$$

Likewise, we can set up an associated bundle $\mathcal{Q}_{GL} = \mathcal{Q}_{GL}(\mathcal{P}_H, GL(5,\mathbb{R}))$, which turns out to be a principal bundle $\mathcal{P}_{GL} = \mathcal{P}_{GL}(\mathcal{M}, GL(5,\mathbb{R}))$,where $GL(5,\mathbb{R})$ is a general linear group. Since G is a subgroup of $GL(5,\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{P}$ is a subbundle of $\mathcal{P}_{GL}$.

Note that G can act on M_5 and D_4, once a Minkowski coordinate system $\{\xi^A\}$ is chosen. Then we can set up two associated bundles $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5} = \mathcal{Q}_{M_5}(\mathcal{P}, M_5)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{P}, D_4)$, such that $\mathcal{Q}$ is a subbundle of $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}$. Suppose that σ is a local section in $\mathcal{P}$. Then σ together with $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{\xi^A\}$ presents a coordinate system $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$ on $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}$. Let σ' be another local section in $\mathcal{P}$, and $g(x)$ be the transition function, such that

$$\sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)[g(x)]^{-1}. \tag{51}$$

The transformation (51) of σ is called the gauge transformation, which induces a coordinate transformation of $\mathcal{Q}_{M_5}$:

$$\xi'^A = [g(x)]^A{}_B \xi^B. \tag{52}$$

For any point $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, there exists an orthonormal frame field $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ defined on a domain $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}$. The frame field $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ presents a local section $\sigma_H(x) = (x, \{e_\alpha{}^a|_x\})$ in $\mathcal{P}_H$, which induces a local section $\sigma_e(x) = \sigma_H(x) \cdot e$ in $\mathcal{P}$, where e stands for the identity element of G. Assume that $\mathring{\xi} \in D_4$, whose coordinates in $\{\xi^A\}$ are $\mathring{\xi}^A = (0,0,0,0,l)^T$. Then $\sigma_e(x) \cdot \mathring{\xi}$ is a local section in $\mathcal{Q}$, whose value at x_0 is independent of the choice of the frame field $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$, as will be shown below.

Suppose that $\{e'_\alpha{}^a\}$ is another orthonormal frame field defined on a domain $\mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, such that $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}'$. Then there exists a function $h(x)$, which is defined on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$, and valued on H, such that $e'_\alpha{}^a|_x = e_\beta{}^a|_x \, h^\beta{}_\alpha(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$. The frame field $\{e'_\alpha{}^a\}$ presents a local section $\sigma'_H(x) = (x, \{e'_\alpha{}^a|_x\})$ in $\mathcal{P}_H$, which is equal to $\sigma_H(x)h(x)$ on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$. The local section $\sigma'_H(x)$ induces a local section $\sigma'_e(x) = \sigma'_H(x) \cdot e$ in $\mathcal{P}$, which is equal to

$\sigma_e(x)h(x)$ on $\mathcal{O}\cap\mathcal{O}'$. The local section $\sigma'_e(x)$ together with $\mathring{\xi}$ gives a local section $\sigma'_e(x)\cdot\mathring{\xi}$ in $\mathcal{Q}$, which is equal to

$$\sigma_e(x)h(x)\cdot\mathring{\xi}=\sigma_e(x)\cdot h(x)\mathring{\xi}=\sigma_e(x)\cdot\mathring{\xi},$$

on $\mathcal{O}\cap\mathcal{O}'$. As a result, $\sigma_e(x_0)\cdot\mathring{\xi}$ is independent of the choice of the frame field $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$, and a global section ϕ of $\mathcal{Q}$ can be defined by [12]

$$\phi(x_0)=\sigma_e(x_0)\cdot\mathring{\xi}. \tag{53}$$

B Ehresmann connection

Suppose that at any $p\in\mathcal{P}$, the tangent space of $\mathcal{P}$ can be decomposed into a direct sum:

$$T_p\mathcal{P}=V_p\oplus H_p, \tag{54}$$

where V_p is the vertical subspace defined as the tangent space of fiber, and, H_p is called the horizontal subspace, and satisfies

$$H_{pg}=R_{g*}[H_p] \tag{55}$$

for any $g\in G$, where R_g is the group action of G on $\mathcal{P}$, and R_{g*} denotes the pushforward map of R_g. The decomposition (54) can be used to define a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form Ω on $\mathcal{P}$, called the Ehresmann connection, such that for any $X\in T_p\mathcal{P}$,

$$\Omega(X)=(R_p^{-1})_*X^V, \tag{56}$$

where $X^V\in V_p$ is the vertical part of X defined by Eq. (54), and R_p is a diffeomorphism defined by $R_p\,g=pg$. The condition (55) implies that

$$R_g^*\,\Omega=I_{g^{-1}*}\circ\Omega, \tag{57}$$

where $\circ$ denotes a composition, and I_g is a Lie group isomorphism defined by $I_g\,g_1=g\,g_1g^{-1}$ for any $g_1\in G$. Conversely, given the Ehresmann connection Ω as a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form which satisfies Eq. (57), we can give a direct sum decomposition (54) which is compatible with Eq. (56), in the following way. For any $p\in\mathcal{P}$ and $X\in T_p\mathcal{P}$, define $X^V=R_{p*}[\Omega(X)]$, $X^H=X-X^V$, and $H_p=\mathrm{Ker}(\Omega|_p)$. Then $X=X^V+X^H$, $X^V\in V_p$, $X^H\in H_p$, and Eq. (55) holds.

The local Ehresmann connection with respect to σ is defined as

$$\Omega_a=(\sigma^*\Omega)_a, \tag{58}$$

which is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on $\mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is the definition domain of σ. Under the gauge transformation (51), Ω_a transforms in the following way:

$$\Omega'^A{}_{Ba}=[g(x)]^A{}_C\,\Omega^C{}_{Da}[g(x)^{-1}]^D{}_B+[g(x)]^A{}_C\,d_a[g(x)^{-1}]^C{}_B, \tag{59}$$

where A,B, etc. are matrix indices, and $\Omega'_a=(\sigma'^*\Omega)_a$. Conversely, given σ and Ω_a as a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on $\mathcal{U}$, we can construct an Ehresmann connection Ω on $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$, where

π is the projection map of $\mathcal{P}$, such that Eq. (58) holds, in the following way. For any $p \in \pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}]$ and $X \in T_p\,\mathcal{P}$, define

$$\Omega|_p(X) = I_{g^{-1}*}[R^{-1}_{\sigma(x)*}(R_{g^{-1}*}X - \sigma_*\pi_*X) + \Omega_a|_x(\pi_*X)^a], \tag{60}$$

where $x = \pi(p)$, and g is determined by $p = \sigma(x)g$. Likewise, given another local section σ' defined on a domain $\mathcal{U}'$, and Ω'_a as a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form on $\mathcal{U}'$, we can construct an Ehresmann connection Ω' on $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}']$, such that $\Omega'_a = (\sigma'^*\Omega)_a$. If Ω_a and Ω'_a satisfy the relation (59), then Ω and Ω' given by them are the same on $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{U}']$.

The curvature of Ω is defined as $\mathcal{F} = D\Omega$, where $D\Omega$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two-form on $\mathcal{P}$, such that for any vector fields X_1 and X_2 on $\mathcal{P}$, $D\Omega(X_1, X_2) = (d\Omega)(X_1^H, X_2^H)$. The local curvature of Ω with respect to σ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{ab} = (\sigma^*\mathcal{F})_{ab}, \tag{61}$$

which is a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued two-form on $\mathcal{U}$. It can be shown that

$$\mathcal{F}^A{}_{Bab} = d_a\Omega^A{}_{Bb} + \Omega^A{}_{Ca} \wedge \Omega^C{}_{Bb}. \tag{62}$$

Under the gauge transformation (51), $\mathcal{F}_{ab}$ transforms as

$$\mathcal{F}'^A{}_{Bab} = [g(x)]^A{}_C\,\mathcal{F}^C{}_{Dab}\,[g(x)^{-1}]^D{}_B. \tag{63}$$

In fact, Ω can be given by the RC geometry of $\mathcal{M}$. An orthonormal frame field $\{e_\alpha{}^a\}$ defined on a domain $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ presents a local section $\sigma_e = (x, \{e_\alpha{}^a|_x\}) \cdot e$ in $\mathcal{P}$. The local Ehresmann connection with respect to σ_e can be defined as [12]

$$(\Omega_e)_a = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^\alpha{}_{\beta a} & l^{-1}e^\alpha{}_a \\ -l^{-1}e_{\beta a} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{64}$$

Suppose that $\{e'_\alpha{}^a\}$ is another orthonormal frame field defined on a domain $\mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, and $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$ is nonempty. Then there exists a function $h(x)$ which is defined on $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$ and valued on H, such that $e'_\alpha{}^a|_x = e_\beta{}^a|_x\,h^\beta{}_\alpha(x)$ for any $x \in \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}'$. The frame field $\{e'_\alpha{}^a\}$ presents another local section $\sigma'_e = (x, \{e'_\alpha{}^a|_x\}) \cdot e$ in $\mathcal{P}$. The local Ehresmann connection $(\Omega'_e)_a$ with respect to σ'_e can be defined in the same way as Eq. (64). Then $(\Omega_e)_a$ and $(\Omega'_e)_a$ satisfy the relation (59) with

$$g(x) = \begin{pmatrix} h(x)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{65}$$

Hence, $(\Omega_e)_a$ and $(\Omega'_e)_a$ give the same Ehresmann connection on $\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}']$. By this way, an Ehresmann connection Ω of $\mathcal{P}$ can be well defined. For some interesting interpretations of Eq. (64), one may refer to Refs. [6, 9, 24, 25]. According to Eq. (62), the local curvature of Ω with respect to σ_e is equal to

$$(\mathcal{F}_e)_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} R^\alpha{}_{\beta ab} - l^{-2}e^\alpha{}_a \wedge e_{\beta b} & l^{-1}S^\alpha{}_{ab} \\ -l^{-1}S_{\beta ab} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{66}$$

C Horizontal and vertical fields

Let v^a be a vector at $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$. Its horizontal lift in $\mathcal{P}$ is a vector field v_1 on $\pi^{-1}(x_0)$, which satisfies $v_1|_p \in H_p$ for any $p \in \pi^{-1}(x_0)$, and $(\pi_* v_1)^a = v^a$. Note that the matrix elements present a natural coordinate system $\{m^A{}_B\}$ on $GL(5,\mathbb{R})$, and a local section σ in $\mathcal{P}$ together with $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{m^A{}_B\}$ presents a local coordinate system $\{x^\mu, m^A{}_B\}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{GL}$. It can be shown that

$$v_1 = v^\mu \partial_\mu - \Omega^A{}_{Ca} v^a g^C{}_B \, \partial/\partial \, m^A{}_B, \tag{67}$$

where v^μ are components of v^a in $\{x^\mu\}$, $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial x^\mu$ and $\partial/\partial \, m^A{}_B$ are the coordinate basis vector fields of $\{x^\mu, m^A{}_B\}$, and $g^C{}_B = m^C{}_B$. The horizontal lift $\overline{v}$ of v^a in $\mathcal{Q}$ is a vector field on $\{p \cdot \xi_1 \,|\, p \in \pi^{-1}(x_0), \xi_1 \in D_4\}$, whose value at $p \cdot \xi_1$ is the pushforward of $v_1|_p$ by the map $p_1 \to p_1 \cdot \xi_1$, where $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}$. It can be shown that

$$\overline{v} = v^\mu \partial_\mu - \Omega^A{}_{Ba} v^a \xi^B \partial_A, \tag{68}$$

where ∂_μ and ∂_A are the coordinate basis vector fields of $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$. The covariant derivative $D_a\phi$ of the global section ϕ is defined as follows. At any $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $D_a\phi$ is a $V_{\phi(x)}$-valued one-form, where $V_{\phi(x)}$ is the tangent space of fiber, such that for any $v^a \in T_x \mathcal{M}$,

$$v^a (D_a \phi) = \phi_* v^a - \overline{v}|_{\phi(x)}. \tag{69}$$

It can be verified that [12]

$$D_a\phi = (D_a \xi^A) \partial_A|_{\phi(x)} = (d_a \xi^A + \Omega^A{}_{Ba} \xi^B) \partial_A|_{\phi(x)}, \tag{70}$$

where ξ^A are short for $\xi^A(x) \equiv \xi^A[\phi(x)]$. In the special gauge with $\sigma = \sigma_e$, $\xi^A(x)$ and $D_a\xi^A$ are identical to $(0,0,0,0,l)^T$ and $(e^0{}_a, e^1{}_a, e^2{}_a, e^3{}_a, 0)^T$. Under the gauge transformation (51), $\xi^A(x)$ transforms as

$$\xi'^A(x) = [g(x)]^A{}_B \, \xi^B(x), \tag{71}$$

and $D_a\xi^A$ transforms as

$$D_a \xi'^A = [g(x)]^A{}_B D_a \xi^B. \tag{72}$$

Making use of Eqs. (63), (66), (71) and (72), it is easy to verify the gauge-invariant expressions (6)–(8) for the metric, torsion and curvature.

Denote the coordinate basis vector fields of $\{x^\mu\}$ by $(\partial_\mu)^a$. It follows from Eq. (68) that the horizontal lifts of $(\partial_\mu)^a$ on $\mathcal{Q}$ are [12]

$$X_\mu = \partial_\mu - \Omega^A{}_{B\mu} \xi^B \partial_A. \tag{73}$$

Each coordinate basis vector field ∂_A of $\{\xi^A\}$ can be decomposed into two parts on D_4: one part is tangent to D_4, denoted by $\widetilde{\partial}_A{}^a$, and the other part is orthogonal to D_4, which is equal to $l^{-2}\xi_A \xi^B \partial_B$. Similarly, each vertical coordinate basis vector field ∂_A of $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$ can be decomposed into two parts on $\mathcal{Q}$:

$$\partial_A = \widetilde{\partial}_A + l^{-2} \xi_A \xi^B \partial_B, \tag{74}$$

where $\widetilde{\partial}_A$ is the pushforward of $\widetilde{\partial}_A{}^a$ by the map $\xi \to \sigma(x) \cdot \xi$, and is tangent to the fiber of $\mathcal{Q}$, where $\xi \in D_4$. Suppose that θ^A are several vertical one-form fields on $\{p \cdot \xi \,|\, p \in$

$\pi^{-1}[\mathcal{U}], \xi \in D_4\}$, where 'vertical' means $\theta^A(X_\mu) = 0$. Assume that for any $x \in \mathcal{U}$, the pullbacks of θ^A by the map $\xi \to \sigma(x) \cdot \xi$ are $d_a\xi^A$, then there should be [12]

$$\theta^A = d\xi^A + \Omega^A{}_{B\mu}\xi^B dx^\mu, \tag{75}$$

where x^μ and ξ^A are coordinates of $\{x^\mu, \xi^A\}$. Under the gauge transformation (51), θ^A transform as

$$\theta'^A = [g(x)]^A{}_B\, \theta^B. \tag{76}$$

A comparison of Eqs. (70) and (75) yields

$$(\phi^*\theta^A)_a = D_a\xi^A. \tag{77}$$

Suppose that $\{E_\alpha{}^a\}$ is a local frame field on D_4, with $\{E^\alpha{}_a\}$ as its dual. Then there exist functions $E_\alpha{}^A$, $E^\alpha{}_A$, $E_A{}^\alpha$ and $E^A{}_\alpha$, such that $E_\alpha{}^a = (E_\alpha{}^A\partial_A)^a$, $E^\alpha{}_a = E^\alpha{}_A d_a\xi^A$, $\widetilde{\partial}_A{}^a = E_A{}^\alpha E_\alpha{}^a$ and $d_a\xi^A = E^A{}_\alpha E^\alpha{}_a$. Since $E_\alpha{}^a$ is tangent to D_4, there should be

$$E_\alpha{}^A\xi_A = 0. \tag{78}$$

Moreover, with the help of $E_\alpha{}^a E^\beta{}_a = \delta^\beta{}_\alpha$, $E_\alpha{}^a d_a\xi^A = E_\alpha{}^A$, $E^\alpha{}_a\widetilde{\partial}_A{}^a = E_A{}^\alpha$ and $(d_a\xi^A)\widetilde{\partial}_B{}^a = \delta^A{}_B - l^{-2}\xi^A\xi_B$, it can be shown that

$$E_\alpha{}^A E^\beta{}_A = \delta^\beta{}_\alpha,\ E^A{}_\alpha E_B{}^\alpha = \delta^A{}_B - l^{-2}\xi^A\xi_B, \tag{79}$$

$$E^A{}_\alpha = E_\alpha{}^A,\ E_A{}^\alpha = E^\alpha{}_B(\delta^B{}_A - l^{-2}\xi^B\xi_A). \tag{80}$$

Assume that E_α are several local vertical vector fields on $\mathcal{Q}$, which are the pushforwards of $E_\alpha{}^a$ by the map $\xi \to \sigma(x) \cdot \xi$, and E^α are several local vertical one-form fields on $\mathcal{Q}$, whose pullbacks by the map $\xi \to \sigma(x) \cdot \xi$ are $E^\alpha{}_a$ for any $x \in \mathcal{U}$. The local functions $E_\alpha{}^A$, $E^\alpha{}_A$, $E_A{}^\alpha$ and $E^A{}_\alpha$ on D_4 induce some local functions on $\mathcal{Q}$, which are denoted by the same symbols, and defined by, for example,

$$E_\alpha{}^A[\sigma(x) \cdot \xi] = E_\alpha{}^A(\xi). \tag{81}$$

Obviously, these induced functions also satisfy Eqs. (78), (79) and (80). Furthermore, it can be verified that

$$E_\alpha = E_\alpha{}^A\partial_A,\ E^\alpha = E^\alpha{}_A\theta^A, \tag{82}$$

$$\widetilde{\partial}_A = E_A{}^\alpha E_\alpha,\ \theta^A = E^A{}_\alpha E^\alpha, \tag{83}$$

$$E^\alpha(E_\beta) = \delta^\alpha{}_\beta,\ \theta^A(\tilde{\partial}_B) = \delta^A{}_B - l^{-2}\xi^A\xi_B, \tag{84}$$

$$E^\alpha(\tilde{\partial}_A) = E_A{}^\alpha,\ \theta^A(E_\alpha) = E_\alpha{}^A. \tag{85}$$

Let $\{\overline{E}_A\} = \{X_\mu, E_\alpha\}$ and $\{\overline{E}^A\} = \{dx^\mu, E^\alpha\}$. Then $\{\overline{E}_\mathcal{A}\}$ is a local frame field on $\mathcal{Q}$, with $\{\overline{E}^\mathcal{A}\}$ as its dual frame field.

References

[1] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou. On Beltrami model of de Sitter spacetime. Mod. Phys. Lett. A 19, 1701–1710 (2004)

[2] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou. On special relativity with cosmological constant. Phys. Lett. A 311, 1–7 (2004)

[3] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou. Three kinds of special relativity via inverse Wick rotation. Chin. Phys. Lett. 22, 2477–2480 (2005)

[4] H.-Y. Guo, H.-T. Wu, B. Zhou. The principle of relativity and the special relativity triple. Phys. Lett. B 670, 437–441 (2009)

[5] H.-Y. Guo. On principle of inertia in closed universe. Phys. Lett. B 653, 88–94 (2007)

[6] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Y Tian, H.-T. Wu, Z. Xu and B. Zhou. Snyder's model-de Sitter special relativity duality and de Sitter gravity. Class. Quantum Grav. 24, 4009–4035 (2007)

[7] H.-Y. Guo. Special relativity and theory of gravity via maximum symmetry and localization – in honor of the 80th birthday of professor Qikeng Lu. Science in China A 51, 568–603 (2008)

[8] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester. General relativity with spin and torsion: foundations and prospects. Rev. Mod. Phys. 48, 393–416 (1976)

[9] H.-Y. Guo. The local de Sitter invariance. Kexue Tongbao (Chin. Sci. Bull.) 21, 31–34 (1976) (in Chinese)

[10] K. S. Stelle and P. C. West. Spontaneously broken de Sitter symmetry and gravitational honolomy group. Phys. Rev. D 21, 1466–1488 (1980)

[11] G. Grignani and G. Nardelli. Gravity and the Poincaré group. Phys. Rev. D 45, 2719–2731 (1992)

[12] J.-A. Lu and C.-G. Huang. Kaluza–Klein-type models of de Sitter and Poincaré gauge theories of gravity. Class. Quantum Grav. 30, 145004 (2013) arXiv:1306.3028

[13] F. Mansouri and L.-N. Chang. Gravitation as a gauge theory. Phys. Rev. D 13, 3192–3200 (1976)

[14] H.-Y. Guo and L.-N. Chang. Actions of gravitational gauge fields and their principal bundles formalism. Kexue Tongbao (Chin. Sci. Bull.) 24, 103–106 (1979) (in Chinese)

[15] Y.-M. Cho. Higher-dimensional unifications of gravitation and gauge theories. J. Math. Phys. 16, 2029–2035 (1975)

[16] R. Percacci and S. Randjbar-Daemi. Kaluza–Klein theories on bundles with homogeneous fibers. I. J. Math. Phys. 24, 807–814 (1983)

[17] R. M. Wald. General Relativity. The university of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984

[18] C.-B. Liang, B. Zhou. Introduction to Differential Geometry and General Relativity. Science Press, Beijing, 2006 (in Chinese)

[19] B. Kuchowicz. Methods of deriving exact solutions of spherical symmetry in the Einstein–Cartan theory for a perfect fluid with a classical description of spin. Acta Phys. Pol. 6, 173–196 (1975)

[20] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde and G. D. Kelick. General relativity with spin and torsion and its deviations from Einstein's theory. Phys. Rev. D 10, 1066–1069 (1974)

[21] J. Weyssenhoff and A. Raabe. Relativistic dynamics of spin-fluids and spin-particles. Acta Phys. Pol. 9, 7–18 (1947)

[22] B. Kuchowicz. Friedmann-like cosmological models without singularity. Gen. Relativ. and Gravit. 9, 511–517 (1978)

[23] N. J. Popławski. Cosmology with torsion – an alternative to cosmic inflation. Phys. Lett. B 694, 181–185 (2010)

[24] D. K. Wise. MacDowell–Mansouri gravity and Cartan geometry. Class. Quantum Grav. 27, 155010 (2010)

[25] A. A. Tseytlin. Poincaré and de Sitter gauge theories of gravity with propogating torsion. Phys. Rev. D 26, 3327–3341 (1982)